

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/765,423 | 01/27/2004 | Brian David Hofrichter | 9150 | 9150 1531 | | |
| 27752 | 7590 10/16/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224 | | | DOUYON, | DOUYON, LORNA M | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1751 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| 10/765,423 | HOFRICHTER ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Lorna M. Douyon | 1751 |

| | Lorna M. Douyon | 1/51 | |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with th | e correspondence add | iress |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) | affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma | iling date of the final reject | ion. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing | ant of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | lionae with 27 CED 41 27 must | ha filad within two mantl | ha of the data of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) | , to avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, is (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous that is the investment of the continuous that is the investment of the continuous that is the investment of the continuous that is the continuous th | nsideration and/or search (see N | | ecause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • | | Ala - 1 |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally | rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non- | Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | • | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | • | • | . • |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ rided below or appended. | will be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-20. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | before or on the date of filing a I sufficient reasons why the affic | Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> lavit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under ap | peal and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | of the status of the claims afte | r entry is below or attact | ned. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu of the same reasons set forth in the final rejection. | does NOT place the application | n in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | _ | |
| 13. Other: | | | 0 |
| | | Lown. M. | Denyon |
| | | Lorna M. Douyon Primary Examiner | · |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)